

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,366	07/23/2003	Tushar V. Choudhary		8227
7590 10/11/2006 RICHMOND, HITCHCOCK, FISH & DOLLAR			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN	NGUYEN, CAM N
	P.O. Box 2443 Bartlesville, OK 74005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bartiesville, Or	X /4003		1754	
			DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_;;		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/625,366	CHOUDHARY ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	•	Cam N. Nguyen	1754				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		<u> </u>				
Period fo							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/19/	<u>/06 (an amendment/response)</u> .					
,	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)[
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims $/-\dot{b}\psi$						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 37-54s/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. よ <i>57-6</i> サ					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	es have been received. Es have been received in Application in the second in the secon	ion No ed in this National Stage				
2) Notice 3) Information	the of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) L. Other:							

Art Unit: 1754

ويم المراث

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicants' amendments and remarks, filed on 7/19/06, have been made of record and entered. Claims 57-64 have been added.

Claims 1-64 are currently pending in this application.

Status of Withdrawn Claim(s)

2. This application contains claims 37-56 which drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. August 26, 2005. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-14, 16-35, & 57-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sughrue et al., "hereinafter Sughrue", (US Pat. 6,254,766).

Sughrue discloses a process for the production of a sorbent composition, which

Application/Control Number: 10/625,366

Art Unit: 1754

comprises: (a) admixing of zinc oxide, silica and alumina so as to form a mix thereof...; (b) particulating the resulting mix so as to form particles thereof; (c) drying the particulate of step (b); (d) calcining the dried particulate of step (c); (e) impregnating the resulting calcined particulate of step (d) with nickel or a nickel-containing compound; (f) drying the impregnated particulate of step (e); (g) calcining the dried particulate of step (f); and thereafter (h) reducing the resulting calcined particulate of step (g), etc. (see col. 14, claim 7). The mix is in the form of one of a wet mix, dough, part or slurry (see col. 14, claim 8). The particles are in the form of one of granules, extrudates, tablets, pellets, or micropores (see col. 14, claim 9). The claimed process conditions are fully disclosed at col. 14, claim 11 & claim 12. Sughue further discloses the amount of nickel is added as promoter in the amount ranging from about 5 to 50 weight percent (see col. 14, claim 10).

Regarding claims 1-14 & 16-34, while Sughue does not disclose including a promoter (or nickel) in the admixing step (a), it would have been *prima facie obvious* to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have added such promoter to the mixture in the admixing step (a) of Sughue in order to achieve a promoted and effective catalyst composition, and in view of step (e) of the reference which teaches to impregnate the resulting calcined particulate with nickel (see Sughue at col. 14, claim 7).

Applicants claiming step (e), which is "recovering said composition" is noted. It is considered the inclusion of this recovering step in the process of Sughue is inherent in order to collect the most out of the final product resulted from the process.

Regarding claims 57-60, it would have been *prima facie obvious* to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have added multiple solutions of the catalyst

Application/Control Number: 10/625,366

Art Unit: 1754

components in the process of Sughue in order to achieve the desired metal concentrations of the catalytic components in the final catalyst since it involves only routine experimentation of one having the ordinary skill in the art to do so.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102(b)/103

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 15 & 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Sughrue et al., "hereinafter Sughrue", (US Pat. 6,254,766).

Sughue discloses the claimed composition (see above).

Product-by-process limitations in the claims are noted. It is considered that while the composition is not made by the same process, the composition made is the same as being claimed. Thus, the process limitations in the claims have no bearing on the patentability of the claimed product per se. See *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Brown*, 173

Application/Control Number: 10/625,366

Art Unit: 1754

USPQ 688, 688 (CCPA 1977); *In re Fessman*, 180 USPQ 324, 326 (CCPA 1977). See also *MPEP 2113*.

Response to Applicants' Arguments

7. Applicants' response filed on July 19, 2006 has been fully considered, but not deemed persuasive in view of the new ground of rejection(s) and/or objection(s) above.

It is considered the claimed step of "admixing the promoter in the initial step of creating the composition or in step (a)" does not appear to distinguish the claimed process from the process of the reference. Thus, the rejections are maintained.

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,366 Page 6

Art Unit: 1754

Conclusion

Claims 1-64 are pending. Claims 1-36 & 57-64 are rejected. Claims 37-56 remain 9.

withdrawn due to non-elected (distinct) invention(s). No claims are allowed.

Contacts

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Primary Examiner Cam N Nguyen, whose telephone number is

571-272-1357. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00 AM - 6:30 PM, at

alternative work site.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Cam N. Nguyen/

Nguyen/cnn Primary Examiner

October 02, 2006 Art Unit: 1754